UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,424	09/27/2006	Fan Lu	55340-311395	8168
	7590 03/17/200 STOCKTON LLP		EXAMINER	
1001 WEST FO	OURTH STREET		GOUGH, TIFFANY MAUREEN	
WINSTON-SALEM, NC 27101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/587,424	LU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TIFFANY M. GOUGH	1657			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 6-21,41-46 and 51-66 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-21,45,46 and 54-66 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 41-44 and 51-53 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	is/are withdrawn from considera	tion.			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/31/08,12/31/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/587,424 Page 2

Art Unit: 1657

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 41-44 in the reply filed on 1/14/2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all of the inventions share a special technical feature. This is not found persuasive because the special technical feature among the groups is a culture of *Nostoc* which is known in the art.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 6-21, 41-46, 51-66 are pending.

Newly submitted claims 54-66 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: they lack they same of corresponding technical feature because cultures of cyanobacterium belonging to the genus *Nostoc* are known in the art.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits.

Accordingly, claims 6-21,51-66 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claims 41-44, 51-53 have been considered on the merits.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 41, 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hori et al. (Plant Foods for Human Nutrition, 1994).

Applicant claims a food product comprising colonies of *Nostoc*.

Hori teach soup and salad comprising *Nostoc commune*, i.e. a food product comprising *Nostoc commune* (Introduction, p. 63). Hori also teach benefits of using *Nostoc commune* in food. They teach that *N. commune* is high in dietary fiber, has cholesterol lowering effects, prevents the increase of serum cholesterol (p. 67,

discussion section and therefore has significant hypocholesterolemic effects (p.66 3rd full paragraph).

Thus, the reference anticipates the claimed subject matter.

Claims 41,51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Qiu et al. (J. of Applied Phycology, 2002).

Applicant claims a food product comprising colonies of *Nostoc*.

Qiu teach *Nostoc* (Ge-Xian-Mi) in a food product (p. 424, 2nd column) as well as colonies of size greater than 0.1 mm and about 10 mm (p.424, Geographical distribution and habitats section). They further teach that edible *N. commune* is of the same morphology and habitats as Ge-Xian-Mi (p. 427, Taxonomic identity of Ge-Xian-Mi)

Thus, the reference anticipates the claimed subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 41-44,51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Hori et al. (Plant Foods for Human Nutrition, 1994) in view of Li et al. (Euro. J. Phycology, 2004).

Applicant claims a food product comprising colonies of *Nostoc*.

Hori teach soup and salad comprising *Nostoc commune*, i.e. a food product comprising *Nostoc commune* (Introduction, p. 63). Hori also teach benefits of using *Nostoc commune* in food. They teach that *N. commune* is high in dietary fiber, has cholesterol lowering effects, prevents the increase of serum cholesterol (p. 67, discussion section and therefore has significant hypocholesterolemic effects (p.66 3rd full paragraph).

Hori does not teach the claimed colony size.

Li et al. (Euro. J. Phycology, 2004) teach *Nostoc sphaeroides* (also known as *N. commune*) to be an edible cyanobacterium which grows to colony sizes ranging from greater than 0.1mm to about 10mm (see introduction, materials and methods section, Table 1).

None of the above references teach the claimed amounts of *Nostoc* added to a food product.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add any desired amount of Nostoc to a food product and such amount would not lend patentability. Adjusting such a result effective variable as an amount added to food is practiced as routine optimization in this art.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIFFANY M. GOUGH whose telephone number is (571)272-0697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,424 Page 7

Art Unit: 1657

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ralph Gitomer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1657

/Tiffany M Gough/ Examiner, Art Unit 1657